



California Health & Human Services Agency Center for Data Insights and Innovation Data Exchange Framework Implementation Advisory Committee Data Sharing Agreement Policies and Procedures Subcommittee Meeting 3 Q&A Log (9:00AM – 11:30AM PT, December 15, 2022)

The following table shows comments that were entered into the Zoom Q&A by public attendees during the December 15th meeting:

Count	Name	Comment	Response(s) ¹
1	Ken Riomales, CA Mental Health Services Authority	With regards to exchange, it appears there is an assumption that it has been already been established that a given patient has already been correlated cross-organizationally before data can be exchanged. Otherwise, query/retrieve is the only compliant workflow for data exchange. Is this correct?	We are going to be discussing a separate P&P about what means "real time" on this call.
2	Ken Riomales, CA Mental Health Services Authority	What is CDII defining as "real-time" in order to set the proper expectation around cadence of message processing?	Answered during live discussion (9:52am).
3	Aaron Goodale, MedPoint Management	If a participant chooses to use a QHIO to participate in the DSA does the policy state that the QHIO will facilitate information requests/responses for non-ADT transaction patterns?	I'd encourage you to read the drafts at https://www.chhs.ca.gov/data-exchange-framework/#dsa-pp-sc-meeting-materials once posted for more detail, and monitor future meetings of this Subcommittee

_

¹ Responses may have been provided by various Data Exchange Framework Data Sharing Agreement Policies and Procedures Subcommittee Members or Center for Data Insights and Innovation staff.





Count	Name	Comment	Response(s) ¹
			and the IAC where the criteria and requirements for QHIOs will be discussed further.
4	Shauntara Jones, AltaMed Health Services	My recommendation would be to keep it simple Information Blocking has been around for several years. I think it would cause confusion changing the name now.	Thanks.
5	Reuben Bank, McDermott Will & Emery	Has the timeline for finalization of this P&P changed? The original timeline suggested finalization of the P&P by December. Will there be further time for public comment after today's webinar?	Yes, significant discussion has required a change in the timeline. There will be a future opportunity for public comment on this and all P&Ps.
6	DeeAnne McCallin, California Primary Care Association	Comment (not question): "Information Blocking" has never made sense, is counter intuitive. I do like the prefix "CA" suggestion.	This is why I recommended reference to "Information Sharing requirements" and/or "Information Blocking prohibitions".

Total Count of Zoom Q&A comments: 6