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Recap

- County Touchpoints Project
- Current status of substance use disorder (SUD) tracking...
- The need for better substance use data in CWS
- Potential sources for improved tracking
- Example data

➢ Workgroup Recommendations
County Touchpoints Project...

Effective Child Welfare and Justice Systems for Families Impacted by Opioid and Stimulant Use.

Project funded through Federal SOR funds passed through to DHCS MAT expansion projects and awarded to Health Management Associates and California Health Policy Strategies


Thirteen Participating Counties: Los Angeles, Orange, Santa Barbara, Kings, Stanislaus, Monterey, Santa Cruz, Santa Clara, Sonoma, Mendocino, Lake, Butte and Shasta.

County driven projects including: Plans of Safe Care; Adolescent SUDS System of Care; Family Residential SUDS Treatment; Enhancing and Increasing MAT Awareness in staff working in the CW/Juvenile Probation; etc.

Data Sub-committee (Representatives from multiple counties)
Parental Alcohol or Drug Abuse as an Identified Condition of Removal by State, 2019

National Average: 38.9%

N = 675,936

Note: Estimates based on all children in out of home care at some point during Fiscal Year

Source: AFCARS Data, 2019 v1
Need for better SUD data....

- Federal Reporting
- FFPSA Candidacy
- Plans of Safe Care
- County CQI Efforts
- Elements to Consider for CARES Data System
Workgroup Goals

- Map out resources
  - Existing data collection systems
  - Examples of county reporting

- Agree on tracking priorities
  - Populations affected
  - CWS outcomes
  - Treatment provision and impact

- Develop recommendations
  - Data entry (Mandatory field designations)
  - Data field development
  - Outcomes tracking and reporting
Potential sources....

Prevalence: Parents, Children/Youth
- Rate
- Drug Type
  - Referred
  - Enrolled
  - Completed

Service Participation: Parents, Children/Youth
- Rate
- Drug Type
  - Referred
  - Enrolled
  - Completed

Outcomes: Parents, Children/Youth
- Time to Trial Visit
- Time to FR/FM
- Time to case closure
- Diversion at ER or soon thereafter
  - Use of standardized SUD Screening & Assessment tools
  - Eliminate Structural Inequities: ethnicity, zip code, income

Data Sources
- CANS
- SDM Strengths & Needs
- CWS service contracts
- DMC
- BHS
- Case Plan Contributing Factors
- Case Plan Planned Client Services
- Case Plan Objective
- Secondary Removal Reason
- Substance Exposed Infants
- Plan of Safe Care

CCWIP California Child Welfare Indicators Project
Potential sources.... (continued)

CDSS SYSTEM CHANGE REQUEST (SCR) 8643

California Department of Social Services
System Change Request 8643

- “Substance Use/ Mental Health / Domestic Violence Issues Affecting this Client” – name may change
- Mandatory grid to collect drug use, alcohol use, mental health issues, and domestic violence.
- Similar to the “Drug/Mental Health Issues Affecting this Client” grid that is available on the Referral > Client Notebook > ID page for the Perpetrator:

![Image of grid for collecting drug use, alcohol use, and mental health issues]

This client has been selected as an alleged perpetrator in this referral.

Select Drugs
- Amphetamine
- Barbiturates
- Benzodiazepines
- Cannabinoids (Marijuana-THC)
- Cocaine
- Cocaine Metabolite
- Codeine
- Crack Cocaine
- Ecstasy
- Fentanyl
- Hallucinogens
- Heroin

OK
Cancel
Help
**Example data....**

**Workgroup Counties**

**CWS/CMS-SDM Linkage**

California Child Welfare Indicators Project
University of California at Berkeley
All Children with Investigated Referrals
SDM Data reported at referral child level
Substantiated, Inconclusive, and Unfounded Only
Data Workgroup Counties (n=10)

### Referrals Received in 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Caretaker Drug Use Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No SDM Risk data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children with Investigated Referrals</td>
<td>11,360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Referral Outcome</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substantiated</td>
<td>1,136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inconclusive</td>
<td>4,680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unfounded</td>
<td>5,544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWS Case Opened</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1,048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>10,312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placed in Out-of-home Care</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>10,551</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Prevalence of Caregiver Substance Use (CANS) Among Families with Children in State Custody

Example data.... (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>N Caregiver Rated</th>
<th>N Rated 1, 2 or 3</th>
<th>Proportion Rated 1, 2 or 3</th>
<th>N Rating 1</th>
<th>Proportion Rating 1</th>
<th>N Rating 2</th>
<th>Proportion Rating 2</th>
<th>N Rating 2</th>
<th>Proportion Rating 2</th>
<th>N Rating 3</th>
<th>Proportion Rating 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Developmental</td>
<td>56230</td>
<td>53567</td>
<td>2512</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1815</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>718</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical/Physical</td>
<td>56230</td>
<td>53567</td>
<td>7855</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>5607</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Health</td>
<td>56230</td>
<td>53567</td>
<td>19132</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>9297</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>9920</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>961</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance Use</td>
<td>56230</td>
<td>53567</td>
<td><strong>19495</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.36</strong></td>
<td>7344</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>10195</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>1956</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

University of Kentucky
College of Public Health
Center for Innovation in Population Health
Recommendations

In light of the longstanding need for better data on this topic, the DLIS requests that the Council support the following goals for improved data collection, reporting, and application of substance use information for the California Child Welfare system:

1. Determine baseline and monitor ongoing prevalence of substance use as a complicating factor for clients at different points along the child welfare continuum (e.g., investigations, substantiations, case openings, entries).

2. Incorporate “substance use as a complicating factor” as a variable to examine different likelihoods of experiencing key child welfare outcomes (e.g., recurrence of maltreatment, placement stability, timely permanency, reentry).

3. Track the process of substance use service provision (e.g., identification of need, referral to service, participation/dosage, etc.), and client success from those services, and their impact on different child welfare outcomes.
Recommendations continued...

To achieve these goals, the Council further supports strategies which could include the following:

Short Term (within one year)

• Support current California Department of Social Services efforts which include System Change Request (SCR) 8643 which will create a new mandatory grid in CWS/CMS to collect data on drug use, alcohol use, mental health issues, and domestic violence.

Medium Term (within one to three years)

• Develop county prevalence reports of substance use as a complicating factor using information linked between Structured Decision Making (SDM) tools (e.g., safety and risk assessment data), and CWS/CMS; and ensure publicly-available versions are accessible by all communities of interest (e.g., public child welfare staff, providers, clinicians, advocates, families and youths).

• If possible, create data exchanges to permit capture of SDM information within the Child Welfare Services-California Automated Response and Engagement System (CWS-CARES). These data would enable more accurate federal reporting of SUD, elucidate the impact of substance use on child welfare outcomes, and inform intervention strategies for families.

Long Term (within three to four years)

• Ensure that the new CWS-CARES system has mandatory fields capturing substance use data.

• Establish coalition between CDSS, DHCS and other stakeholders to integrate substance use data between Child Welfare and Behavioral Health services that identifies key indicators, and tracks substance use treatment referrals, participation, and treatment outcomes. Child and Adolescent Needs and Strengths (CANS) assessment data collected by Child Welfare and Behavioral Health systems is a promising source for such data integration.
Questions?

Daniel Webster
dwebster@berkeley.edu
510.290.6779

CCWIP is a collaboration of the California Department of Social Services, California Child Welfare Indicators Project (CCWIP), and the School of Social Welfare, University of California at Berkeley, and is supported by the Conrad N. Hilton Foundation, Tipping Point Community, and Casey Family Programs.