



California Health & Human Services Agency Center for Data Insights and Innovation Data Exchange Framework Stakeholder Advisory Group Meeting 6 Q&A Log (10:00AM – 12:30PM PT, March 3, 2022)

The following table shows comments that were entered into the Zoom Q&A by public attendees during the March 3rd virtual meeting:

Count	Name	Comment	Response
1	Timi Leslie	'@Kevin McAvey - follow up to Claudia's chat, will there be a process to "approve" the DSA by the DxF Advisory Group? If so, will that be done in the May 18th meeting you referenced?	Hi Timi - Thank you for joining, and for all of the work that you do. DxF Stakeholder Advisory Group members have the opportunity to advise CalHHS on the DxF, including its data sharing agreement and policies and procedures, as due by July 1st. Given the short timelines, AG Members should please submit questions and feedback as they have them around any of the DxF and DSA materials presented at each meeting (verbal or written, including suggested alternatives, always appreciated), in response to DSA meetings and materials they or members of their teams are able to join, and as to be explicitly discussed more fully during our May meeting. Thank you for the question.
2	"Lane, Steven MD MPH "	Sorry to arrive so late. I had to chair the Carequality Steering Committee.	Thanks for joining!
3	Karen Ostrowski	How will the DxF resolve silos of requirements that currently exist within state government? For example, DHCS	





Count	Name	Comment	Response
		has data exchange standards and policies in several initiatives (e.g., CalAIM, BH QIP, etc.) that do not seem linked to the DxF development. This is causing confusion among county departments and will require potential rework of policies and/or processes that are no longer relevant. Harmonization of state and federal law is important, but of equal importance is harmonization of existing policies, guidance, and requirements that exist/are embedded in various state programs across multiple state agencies/departments.	
4	John Helvey	Thank you David Ford!	
5	John Helvey	Agree with Jonah	
6	"Lane, Steven MD MPH "	Recall that the OIG authority for Information Blocking enforcement is limited to HIEs/HINs and Developers of Certified HIT. Enforcement for providers is left to the Secretary of HHS, though some levers are already in place at ONC and CMS.	live answered
7	John Helvey	Excellent point Dr. Lane	
8	"Lane, Steven MD MPH "	Rather than focusing on EHRs we could reference the use of other Health IT systems, that would cover public health, social services, etc.	
9	John Helvey	Agree with Claudia	
10	John Helvey	Agree with Lori Hack's comment	





Count	Name	Comment	Response
11	John Helvey	Agree with Liz Gibboney's comment wholeheartedly!	Thank you so much for your active participation, John. To the extent you can note the comments you are agreeing with or disagreeing with, it would be immensely helpful as we review public comments from the meeting to match it to the topics. Thank you!
12	John Helvey	Lori Hacks Comment :	
13	John Helvey	funding not only for sdmall providers but county agencies	
14	John Helvey	Liz's comment of caution on duplicative or contradictory regulation	
15	Karen Ostrowski	What consideration is being given to existing exchange efforts at the local and state level? Regional efforts exist with their own data sharing agreements, policies, etc. and counties are actively working on data exchange governance on multiple levels for multiple initiatives (e.g., CalAIM, BH QIP, PH infrastructure, etc.). Is it the intent of CalHHS that the DxF will replace all of those existing artifacts and create a unified policy infrastructure?	Karen, we are hoping to leverage and include the current exchanges and incorporate rather than replace.
16	John Helvey	Claudia's comment on funding for HIE infrastructure to achieve the objectives of AB133	
17	John Helvey	Agree with Steven Lane on Larger Organziation's voice in governance	
18	Troy Kaji	Contra Costa is happy to provide a health plan point of view	Please email robert.cothren@CHHS.CA.GOV. It is always great to hear from Contra Costa. (And hi, Troy.)





Count	Name	Comment	Response
19	"Lane, Steven MD MPH "	Much parallel and linked work is ongoing regarding national standards for patient matching. Carequality is also engaged along with the CARIN Alliance.	
20	John Helvey	Excellent Question Andrew Bindman	
21	Troy Kaji	Thank you Mickey Tripathi. Want to connect AND avoid duplication.	
22	John Helvey	There are also EMR's that are connected but make it so cumbersome for provider organizations to actually contribute to HIE/Interoperability. Connected but hugely cumbersome to process and very dependent on human action.	Hi Timi - Thank you for joining, and for all of the work that you do. DxF Stakeholder Advisory Group members have the opportunity to advise CalHHS on the DxF, including its data sharing agreement and policies and procedures, as due by July 1st. Given the short timelines, AG Members should please submit questions and feedback as they have them around any of the DxF and DSA materials presented at each meeting (verbal or written, including suggested alternatives, always appreciated), in response to DSA meetings and materials they or members of their teams are able to join, and as to be explicitly discussed more fully during our May meeting. Thank you for the question.

Total Count of Zoom Q&A comments: 22