

California Health & Human Services Agency
Center for Data Insights and Innovation
Data Exchange Framework Stakeholder Advisory Group
Meeting 5 Public Comment (10:00AM – 12:30PM PT, January 25, 2022)

The table below shows public comments that were made verbally during the January 25th virtual meeting. Additional public comments can be found in the meeting’s “Q&A Log” and “Chat Log,” as well as in other documentation submitted and posted on the CHHS Data Exchange Framework [website](#).

Count	Name	Comment
1	John Helvey, SacValley MedShare	Well I’ve been participating in... John Helvey from SacValley MedShare in Northern California and I’ve been participating as an observer on these meetings so far and I really liked the momentum and the content it’s getting momentum moving forward and I just appreciate all the hard work that each one of you are putting into this and just wanted to say thank you.
2	Lucy Johns	Thank you. I also appreciate the breadth and depth of this discussion. It’s the task before this group is monumental and I think Jonah’s leadership has created at least a set of slides that starts to organize it in a very understandable way. So, thank you for that. I just wanted to make a comment on the second bullet in one of the slides that Jonah said really shouldn’t have been there. But I’m just going to say that there is a pilot starting right now under federal HHS auspices, called the SMS pilot, which is addressing the federated versus centralized digital identity issue and anything that we do in California should certainly be aware of that. And comments throughout this meeting have referred constantly to the dynamic between Federal and State policymaking. So I just wanted to be sure that everyone on the call knows that the issue of federated versus centralized digital identity is a debate that is not going to be settled soon and it’s not going to be settled by this project. So please start to inform yourselves about it. It really evokes how digital identity should be governed, whether this should be a government function or not, and if, it’s a government function, should it be outsourced to the private sector to entities that are not HIPAA covered? So, this is really complicated, really controversial and really interesting. Thank you.
3	Cheryl Esters	Hi! I just wanted to thank you for all the work that you’re doing on this. It’s been a big challenge for all of us as the counties trying to figure out the direction that we should be

Count	Name	Comment
		going in, so I just want to offer my appreciation for allowing us to be part of these conversions
4	Deven McGraw, Data Sharing Agreement Subcommittee Member	Yeah. Great. Thank you very much. I'm also a member of the data—of your subcommittee—working on the data sharing framework agreement. And I want to applaud the committee for embracing individual access is an important component of the data sharing framework. For my day job I help patients gather their records from all the places where they've been seen and that can be very difficult for them to accomplish. Particularly, if they need to set up ways to do this at each and every provider versus being able to potentially take advantage of a kind of network approach to gathering data from multiple places through a single request. Which might be something we can accomplish if we get everyone connected together through this data sharing activity. So, I want to thank the members of the committee and all the staff because I agree this is definitely heading in a positive direction. Thank you.

Total Count of public comments: 4