

**California Health & Human Services Agency**  
**Center for Data Insights and Innovation**  
**Data Exchange Framework Stakeholder Advisory Group**  
**Meeting 3 Public Comment (10:00AM – 12:30PM PT, November 10, 2021)**

The table below shows public comments that were made verbally during the November 10<sup>th</sup> virtual meeting. Additional public comments can be found in the meeting’s “Q&A Log” and “Chat Log,” as well as in other documentation submitted and posted on the CHHS Data Exchange Framework [website](#).

Count	Name	Comment
1	Allen Noriega	I just wanted to go back to Michelle Gibbons’ comment about expanding our understanding of equity, to go beyond our Medi-Cal, Medicaid population. I did want to bring it back to a recommendation that Jonah had made in the data exchange roadmap for Cal-AIM. There is, there was mention of it on the legal and regulatory alignment, that mentioned that there should be like a development of contractual requirements that require DHCS, CalPERS, and Covered California, to be a part of that, possibly private entities too. I think there's a, there is a possibility, or like there’s potential to think about how, who will be using this platform eventually to make it patient centered. I believe Andrew Bindman had said that, you know, about the patient ultimately using this platform, as opposed to thinking about it as just the provider being the one to use it. So, again I, I just wanted to I guess highlight that about what Michelle Gibbons had said about thinking about this platform, or like county equity beyond just medical to also include population, such as the people using Covered California or private entities also.
2	Kristine Toppe	My name is Kristine Toppe. I am the assistant Vice President for State Affairs at the National Committee for Quality Assurance, NCQA. And I just, we’ve been following the work of this group, we are very excited by the goals that it is trying to achieve, and I just wanted to comment that there are a number of efforts that are underway related to work NCQA has been doing, both

Count	Name	Comment
		<p>that tie into California's, the work that's happening in Cal-Aim, the work that's happening under Covered California. I'm sure everyone here probably knows facets of that, but I just wanted to tie them together because they relate to our work in promoting digital measures, promoting upstream data validation for data, such as that housed in an HIE, and that, ultimately, would be used for more efficient reporting, for a variety of different purposes. And so I say reporting, but I mean data collection, you know, quality improvement care management, the array of ways in which data might be used. So I just wanted to acknowledge that there are lots of points of intersection across the state that those entities that I named are all aligning, and that's great news. And I also wanted to just acknowledge Michelle Cabrera's point around primary data collection from the member in a sensitive way, we are very much advocating is part of HEDIS measures, risk stratification down the road and primary collection of race and ethnicity data as the gold standard. So I just wanted to again make those points, tie them together for the purpose and benefit of this group. Thank you.</p>

**Total Count of public comments: 2**