

IST SOLUTIONS WORKGROUP – FEEDBACK ON DRAFT RECOMMENDATIONS

REVIEWER NAME: Brandon Barnes- Sutter County Sheriff

#	Strategy	Type	Potential Impact	Other Considerations
S-1	Funding to jails. Work to assess and ensure all costs associated with care for felony IST's are being covered by the state so counties are not burdened with cost they would not normally be responsible for. These costs can vary by county.	Funding		
S-3	Seek treatment and medication histories from family members- Who is expected to do this? Some jails have contract medical and adding duties may result in contract amendments and additional costs. Is this something DHS is going to handle and then pass the information along to jail facilities?	Training		
S-6	Court appointed evaluators- Is there funding for the additional workload?	Training		
	There was discussion of creating an IMO Toolkit to help county sheriffs, board members, and CAO's understand the IMO process and the need for IMO in jail settings. I don't see that referenced.			
M-9	No mention of additional funding to enhance JBCT programs for those participating. Small counties cannot afford to absorb these costs and should be included in expanded funding opportunities.	Funding		
M-12	Determine treatment course that may begin in jail- Who is providing this service? Many jails have contract medical providers. Additional duties will result in higher costs and modifications to existing contracts. Is the state offsetting these costs?	Policy/Funding		
	Long term solutions make no reference to building more state-run medical facilities. This must be part			

