Meeting Logistics

Telephone or webinar (Zoom) only - No in-person meeting

Telephone: 888-788-0099
Webinar: Join by smartphone, tablet, or computer
Meeting ID: 984 0422 5213

American Sign Language Interpreting & Live Captioning Available

Meeting slides, recording & transcript will be posted to the CHHS MPA webpage
Public Comment

Public comments during meeting, as on agenda and announced:

Attendees joining by phone, press *9 on your dial pad to join line. The moderator will announce the last 4 digits of your phone number and will unmute your line.

Attendees joining by webinar (Zoom), click the raise hand button to join line. The moderator will announce your name or your last 4 digits of your phone number and will unmute your line.

For additional public comment or for meeting feedback email Engage@aging.ca.gov.
Welcome & Introductions

Richard Figueroa
Deputy Cabinet Secretary, Office of Governor Gavin Newsom

Kim McCoy Wade
Director, California Department of Aging (CDA)
IMPACT Stakeholder Committee Members

Susan DeMarois, Director of Public Policy, Alzheimer’s Association Northern California & Northern Nevada Chapter

Andy Imparato, JD, Executive Director, Disability Rights California

Nancy McPherson, MPA, State Director for AARP California

Sarita Mohanty, MD, MPA, MBA, President and Chief Executive Officer, The SCAN Foundation

Doug Moore, Executive Director, United Domestic Workers of America
IMPACT Stakeholder Committee Members

Sharon Nevins, LCSW, MPA, Director, San Bernardino County Department of Aging & Adult Services/Area Agency on Aging

Glenda Jackson, Deputy Director, Chief Public Guardian

Kevin Prindiville, JD, Executive Director, Justice in Aging

Kiran Savage-Sangwan, MPA, Executive Director, California Pan-Ethnic Health Network

Fernando Torres-Gil, PhD, MSW, Director of the UCLA Center for Policy Research on Aging, Professor of Social Welfare and Public Policy at UCLA, Adjunct Professor of Gerontology at USC
Meeting Agenda

1:00 – 1:10: Welcome & Introductions

1:10 – 1:20: Purpose of IMPACT Stakeholder Committee

1:20 – 2:20: Part One, Presentation & Discussion:
   - Review of First MPA Progress Report

2:20 – 2:35: Public Comment #1

2:35 – 2:45: BREAK

2:45 – 3:30: Part Two, Presentation & Discussion
   - Local Playbook & Other Partnerships
   - Data Dashboard for Aging

3:30 – 3:40: IMPACT Operations

3:40 – 3:55: Public Comment #2

3:55 – 4:00: Summary & Next steps – Kim McCoy Wade
Kim McCoy Wade
California Department of Aging

Master Plan for the Aging’s initiative #104 called for the creation of the Implementing the MPA in California Together (IMPACT) Committee. This committee will advise the Administration on the implementation of the MPA.
GOVERNOR GAVIN NEWSOM CALLS FOR CREATION OF A MASTER PLAN FOR AGING

Executive Order N-14-19, June 2019

Governor’s Executive Order calls for the Secretary of the Health and Human Services (HHS) Agency to convene a cabinet-level Workgroup for Aging to advise the Secretary in developing and issuing the Master Plan.

The order also directs HHS to convene a Master Plan for Aging Stakeholder Advisory Committee, which will include a Research Subcommittee and a Long-Term Care Subcommittee with an interest in building an age-friendly California.
MPA Milestones & Timeline (Development)

- **June 2019**: Governor issues MPA Executive Order
- **August 2019**: Together We EngAGE campaign launches
- **Fall ’19/Winter ‘20**: MPA Framework developed with Stakeholders
- **March 2020**: LTTS Stakeholder Report submitted to Gov.
- **March-May 2020**: MPA development expanded to incorporate CV19 response
- **June – October 2020**: All public & stakeholder input submitted
- **January 6, 2021**: Governor releases MPA
MPA Milestones & Timeline (Implementation)

January 2021:
- Implementation of 21-22 initiatives begins

June 2021:
- Progress Report #1 released, IMPACT announced

July 2021:
- IMPACT Committee convenes

January 2022:
- First annual report

Together We ENGAGE
Master Plan for Aging
The Master Plan for Aging

First Progress Report Discussion

Kim McCoy Wade
California Department of Aging & All
Review of MPA’s Progress Report (July ‘21)

MPA Launch
MPA Partnerships
MPA Implementation
- Goal One: Housing for All Ages & Stages
- Goal Two: Health Reimagined
- Goal Three: Equity & Inclusion, Not Isolation
- Goal Four: Caregiving That Works
- Goal Five: Affording Aging

MPA Accountability

Together We ENGAGE
Master Plan for Aging
Public Comment

Public comments during meeting, as on agenda and announced:

Attendees joining by phone, press *9 on your dial pad to join line. The moderator will announce the last 4 digits of your phone number and will unmute your line.

Attendees joining by webinar (Zoom), click the raise hand button to join line. The moderator will announce your name or your last 4 digits of your phone number and will unmute your line.

For additional public comment or for meeting feedback email Engage@aging.ca.gov.
The Master Plan for Aging

Local Playbook & Partnerships Discussion

Amanda Lawrence
California Department of Aging & All
MPA Local Playbook & Local Leadership Trainings

The MPA Local Playbook
Seven Plays to Build Communities for All Ages

Play One: Engage Your Local Leaders
Play Two: Explore Local Data
Play Three: Review Existing Local Aging Models
Play Four: Select Your MPA Initiatives for Implementation
Play Five: Build Your Action Plan
Play Six: Evaluate Your Initiative
Play Seven: Stay Connected

The Master Plan for Aging
Local Playbook
Taking Action to Build Californian Communities for All Ages
WELCOME TO THE MPA LOCAL PLAYBOOK
Resources to Assist You in Taking Action in Your Community

The MPA Local Playbook is designed to assist state and local government, communities, and private and philanthropic organizations in building environments that promote an age-friendly and disability-friendly communities.

The Playbook can be found at MPA.aging.ca.gov.
The Master Plan for Aging

Data Dashboard on Aging (DDA) Discussion

Terri Shaw
Consultant to the MPA & All
The Data Dashboard for Aging: Using Data to Drive Action

Terri Shaw, MPA Consultant
July 14, 2021
MPA and Data Dashboard for Aging
Released January 6, 2021
MPA and Data Dashboard for Aging
Released January 6, 2021

mpa.aging.ca.gov
Play Two: Explore Local Data

Meaningful metrics are the foundation of the planning and evaluation of our work. There are several publicly available and user-friendly data sets that can help determine the focus of your project.

- The MPA Data Dashboard on Aging
- AARP Livability Index
- Elder Economic Index
- Let’s Get Healthy California
- CDA COVID-19 Response Data Dashboard
MPA’s Five Bold Goals are Reflected in the Data Dashboard

mpa.aging.ca.gov

letsgethealthy.ca.gov/mpa-data-dashboard-for-aging/

California for All Ages by 2030

The Master Plan for Aging outlines five bold goals to pursue over the next ten years. This Data Dashboard for Aging provides a set of indicators to measure progress. Learn more about the five bold goals and view indicator progress below.

mpa.aging.ca.gov
Key Data Dashboard Components

• **Landing page** for the Data Dashboard for Aging within Let’s Get Healthy California, with cross references to/from MPA home page

• **5 Goal pages**, housing **data visualizations** relating to each strategy within each goal

• **Indicator progress dashboard**, highlighting statewide trends on key indicators

• **Demographic dashboard**, providing a profile of the older adult population in California at the state and county levels
Local Data Availability

California Population Profile, Adults Age 60 and Older

These visualizations provide a snapshot of the general population characteristics of the California 60 years or older population. Limited filter choices have been used so these visualizations can serve as a static, printable view of the 60 years or older population in California.

2014-2018 Stanislaus: 23.4% (91,923 Persons 60 years or older / 392,519 Stanislaus Annual Adult Population Average)

Age Group, Household Size, Race/Ethnicity, and Residence

Education, Income, and Insurance Type

Birthplace, Immigration Status, and Language

Note: Statistically unstable estimates are based on the relative standard error (RSE) and indicate a small sample CI: Confidence Interval, AIAN: American Indian or Alaska Native, NHPI: Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander

25
Local Data Availability

Goal 1
- Affordable Housing
- Park Access

Goal 2
- Gaps in Services & Supports
- Availability of Services & Supports
- Enrollment in Medicare Plans & Programs
- Usual Source of Care
- Primary Shortage
- Life Expectancy
- Fall-Related Mortality
- Psychological Distress
- Suicide
- ED Utilization
- Geriatric EDs
- Medicare Readmissions
- Dementia-Related Mortality
- LTC Living
- SNF Availability
- SNF Quality

Goal 3
- Life Satisfaction
- Community Support
- Internet Access
- CA Lifeline Program
- Volunteering
- Self-Neglect (APS)
- Abuse (APS)
- Repeat Maltreatment (APS)

Goal 4
- Caregiver Availability

Goal 5
- Housing Cost Burden
- Affording the Cost of Living
- Food Insecurity
- CalFresh Participation
## GOAL FIVE for 2030: Affording Aging

**G** We will have economic security as long as we live.

### Strategy A: End Homelessness for Older Adults
- **Placeholder** Homelessness: Percent of adults age 60 or older who are experiencing homelessness or at risk for homelessness
- **I** Housing Cost Burden: Percent of adult homeowners age 65 or older who spent 30% or more of their income on housing in the past year
  - 2019: 31.3%, Decrease of 3%
- **I** Housing Cost Burden: Percent of adult renters age 65 or older who spent 30% or more of their income on housing in the past year
  - 2019: 60.8%, Decrease of 2%

### Strategy B: Income Security as We Age
- **Placeholder** Poverty: Percent of single adults age 65 or older with income below the California Elder Economic Index
  - 2019: 47.8%, Increase of 0%
- **Placeholder** Retirement Preparedness: Percent of adults age 60 or older who have access to workplace retirement benefits
  - 2019: NA

### Strategy C: Protection from Poverty & Hunger
- **I** Affording the Cost of Living: Percent of basic cost of living covered by Supplemental Security Income/State Supplementary Payment (SSI/SSP) for single adult renters age 65 or older
  - 2019: 40.2%, Decrease of 11%
- **I** Affording the Cost of Living: Percent of basic cost of living covered by Supplemental Security Income/State Supplementary Payment (SSI/SSP) for couple adult renters age 65 or older
  - 2019: 48.2%, Decrease of 12%
- **I** Food Insecurity: Percent of low income (<200% FPL) adults age 60 or older who are experiencing food insecurity
  - 2018: 30.6%, Decrease of 1%
- **I** CalFresh Participation: Percent of adults age 60 or older who enrolled in the CalFresh Program
  - 2019: 6.4%, Increase of 94%
Demo
Older Adults with Income Below the Cost of Living, by Homeownership Status

Percent of Adults Age 65 or Older by Homeownership Status and Income Level, California 2019

- **Total**: 9% Below CEI & 200%FPL+, 16% Below CEI & 100-199% FPL, 12% Below CEI & 0-99% FPL, 38% California 38%
- **Renter**: 21% Below CEI & 200%FPL+, 30% Below CEI & 100-199% FPL, 14% Below CEI & 0-99% FPL, 65% California 51%
- **Homeowner with Mortgage**: 4% Below CEI & 200%FPL+, 11% Below CEI & 100-199% FPL, 19% Below CEI & 0-99% FPL, 34% California 38%
- **No Mortgage**: 7% Below CEI & 200%FPL+, 13% Below CEI & 100-199% FPL, 4% Below CEI & 0-99% FPL, 23% California 26%
Older Adults with Income Below the Cost of Living, by Race/Ethnicity

Percent of Adults Age 65 or Older by Race/Ethnicity and Income Level, California 2019

- **Latino**: 14% Below CEI & 200% FPL, 25% Below CEI & 100-199% FPL, 20% Below CEI & 0-99% FPL, 59% Total
- **Asian**: 12% Below CEI & 200% FPL, 18% Below CEI & 100-199% FPL, 19% Below CEI & 0-99% FPL, 48% Total
- **Black**: 13% Below CEI & 200% FPL, 20% Below CEI & 100-199% FPL, 13% Below CEI & 0-99% FPL, 46% Total
- **Other**: 11% Below CEI & 200% FPL, 17% Below CEI & 100-199% FPL, 13% Below CEI & 0-99% FPL, 40% Total
- **White**: 7% Below CEI & 200% FPL, 13% Below CEI & 100-199% FPL, 9% Below CEI & 0-99% FPL, 29% Total

Income Level:
- Below CEI & 200% FPL
- Below CEI & 100-199% FPL
- Below CEI & 0-99% FPL
- Total

California 38%
## Older Adults with Income Below the Cost of Living, by County

### Percent of Adults Age 65 or Older Within Income Level, by County, 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grouped Counties</th>
<th>Income Level</th>
<th>Below CEI &amp; 0-99% FPL</th>
<th>Below CEI &amp; 100-199% FPL</th>
<th>Below CEI &amp; 200% FPL+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Imperial</td>
<td></td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Benito</td>
<td></td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco</td>
<td></td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tulare</td>
<td></td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td></td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merced</td>
<td></td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kings</td>
<td></td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sutter Yuba</td>
<td></td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Joaquin</td>
<td></td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colusa Glenn Tehama Trin.</td>
<td></td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanislaus</td>
<td></td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butte</td>
<td></td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
<td></td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alameda</td>
<td></td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresno</td>
<td></td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kern</td>
<td></td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monterey</td>
<td></td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Clara</td>
<td></td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Mendocino</td>
<td></td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Barbara</td>
<td></td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Del Norte Lassen Modoc S.</td>
<td></td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riverside</td>
<td></td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shasta</td>
<td></td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Older Adults’ Rental Housing Cost Burden by Race/Ethnicity

The table below displays the percent of older adults who spent more than 30% of their income on housing by race/ethnicity from rented households with a resident 65 or older in California from 2015-2019:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race/Ethnicity</th>
<th>More than 50% Income for Housing</th>
<th>30%-49% Income for Housing</th>
<th>Total Housing Cost Burden</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>34.9%</td>
<td>28.0%</td>
<td>62.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIAN</td>
<td>30.9%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>55.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
<td>28.1%</td>
<td>58.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>37.3%</td>
<td>29.6%</td>
<td>66.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>32.2%</td>
<td>29.1%</td>
<td>61.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHPI</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>23.1%</td>
<td>56.4%*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some Other Race</td>
<td>32.3%</td>
<td>31.9%</td>
<td>64.1%*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two or More Races</td>
<td>33.8%</td>
<td>27.6%</td>
<td>61.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>37.6%</td>
<td>27.2%</td>
<td>64.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*More than 50% Income for Housing

30%-49% Income for Housing

*=Statistically Unstable Estimate; Hispanic=Hispanic/Latino with any other race; AIAN=American Indian and/or Alaska Native; NHPI=Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander.
### Percent Who Spent More than 30% from Rented Households With a Resident 65 or Older by PUMA, 2015-2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Community</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sacramento County (Northeast)--Folsom City, Orangevale &amp; Fair Oaks (East)</td>
<td>81.4%*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles County (North)--LA City (Northeast/Sunland, Sun Valley &amp; Tujunga)</td>
<td>76.9%*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles County (North/Unincorporated)--Castaic</td>
<td>76.8%*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles County (Southeast)--Long Beach (Central) &amp; Signal Hill Cities</td>
<td>75.9%*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventura County (Southeast)--Simi Valley City</td>
<td>75.5%*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego County (Northwest)--Oceanside City &amp; Camp Pendleton</td>
<td>75.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles County (North)--LA City (Northwest/North Hollywood &amp; Valley Village)</td>
<td>74.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Bernardino County (Southwest)--Colton, Loma Linda &amp; Grand Terrace Cities</td>
<td>74.7%*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles County (Central)--Glendale City</td>
<td>74.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Mateo County (Central)--San Mateo (North), Burlingame &amp; Millbrae Cities</td>
<td>73.3%*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles County--LA City (Northwest/Canoga Park, Winnetka &amp; Woodland Hills)</td>
<td>73.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles County (West Central)--LA City (Central/Hancock Park &amp; Mid-Wilshire)</td>
<td>72.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles County (Central)--San Gabriel Valley Region (North)</td>
<td>72.7%*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresno County (Central)--Fresno City (East Central)</td>
<td>72.0%*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Clara County (North Central)--San Jose City (East Central) &amp; Alum Rock</td>
<td>72.0%*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alameda County (East)--Livermore, Pleasanton &amp; Dublin Cities</td>
<td>71.7%*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Bernardino County (Southwest)--Chino &amp; Chino Hills Cities</td>
<td>71.7%*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles County (South Central)--Compton City &amp; West Rancho Dominguez</td>
<td>71.6%*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles County (Northwest)--LA City (Northwest/Encino &amp; Tarzana)</td>
<td>71.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IMPACT
Stakeholder Committee
Operations

Kim McCoy Wade
CA Department of Aging
Public Comment

Public comments during meeting, as on agenda and announced:

Attendees joining by phone, press *9 on your dial pad to join line. The moderator will announce the last 4 digits of your phone number and will unmute your line.

Attendees joining by webinar (Zoom), click the raise hand button to join line. The moderator will announce your name or your last 4 digits of your phone number and will unmute your line.

For additional public comment or for meeting feedback email Engage@aging.ca.gov.
Summary & Next Steps

Kim McCoy Wade
CA Department of Aging
Thank you!

Kim McCoy Wade, CDA Director: Kim.McCoy.Wade@aging.ca.gov
Amanda Lawrence, MPA Project Director: Amanda.Lawrence@aging.ca.gov