## Evaluation of Cal MediConnect: The Beneficiary Perspective

Steve Kaye, PhD Carrie Graham, PhD, MGS Marian Liu, PhD

University of California, San Francisco & Berkeley

#### **Evaluation overview**



- 14 focus groups with beneficiaries
- Longitudinal telephone survey with beneficiaries
- Key informant stakeholder interviews
- Case studies

#### **Beneficiary Survey**

 2,139 dually eligible beneficiaries interviewed between January 2016 and March 2016

#### Purpose:

- To collect quantitative, generalizable data to measure the experiences of Cal MediConnect beneficiaries on access to, quality of, and coordination of care.
- Compare CMC experiences with those who opted out and those in non-CCI counties.
- Longitudinal analysis: A follow up survey will be conducted in early 2017 to measure change over time.



#### Opting out of Cal MediConnect

- About half of all eligible beneficiaries opted out or disenrolled from the program.
- 43% of those who opted out were unaware that they had.
- Were more likely to remember getting a notification letter, but less likely to say that the letter was useful.
- Most said opting out was easy (48%) or somewhat easy (24%)
- 28% were advised by someone else (MD, RN, MA)
- Females and those with disabilities were more likely to opt out
- 14% of those who opted out said they might consider reenrolling

Community

Livina

Policy Center

#### Top Reasons for Opting Out

| Uncertainty  | "I did not understand it, [and] what the purpose of participating in the plan."                             |
|--------------|---|
| Choice       | "I have more choices sticking to what I have."  |
| Satisfaction | "I wanted to keep what I had. It was working really good for me so I just decided to keep that."            |
| Continuity   | "Because it does not give me the same<br>doctor that I had before, they would send<br>me to another place." |
| Disruption   | "[The plan] wouldn't cover some<br>prescriptions and medical equipment."                                    |

#### Continuity of Care

| Since you switched to CMC/MMC?        | СМС | Opt-Out |
|---------------------------------------|-----|---------|
| Kept same primary care provider       | 77% | 86%     |
| Kept all specialists **               | 66% | 79%     |
| No change in mental health care       | 83% | 68%     |
| No change in prescription medications | 74% | 80%     |

- Beneficiaries were most satisfied with benefits when the kept the same primary care provider, specialists, mental health care, or prescription medications
- o 47% opt outs said they did so to to keep their providers

\*\*Indicates statistically significant difference, p-value < .05

Community

Livina

Policy Center

#### Beneficiaries' Satisfaction Cal MediConnect vs. Opt-Out vs. Non-CCI

| N=2,139   | CMC | Opt-Out | Non-CCI |
|---|-----|---------|---------|
| Very or somewhat satisfied with health insurance benefits?                      | 89% | 89%     | 88%     |
| Overall quality of care rated "excellent" or "good"                             | 83% | 83%     | 86%     |
| Since switching to CCI, quality of care is "better." **                         | 36% | 21%     | N/A     |
| Provider's understanding of condition or disability is<br>"excellent" or "good" | 81% | 84%     | 84%     |
| Have NOT filed a grievance or complaint in the last 6 months                    | 96% | 97%     | 97%     |

\*\*indicates statistical significance, p-value <.05

#### Access to Care

| Since you switched to CMC/MMC?                    | СМС | Opt-out | Non-CCI |
|---|-----|---------|---------|
| Getting apt with a primary care doctor is easier  | 28% | 22%     | NA      |
| Getting an apt with specialist is easier          | 26% | 23%     | NA      |
| Getting DME is easier                             | 26% | 12%     | NA      |
| Getting apt with mental health provider is easier | 24% | 16%     | NA      |
| I use the ER less                                 | 34% | 28%     | NA      |
| Dental benefits are better                        | 24% | 12%     | NA      |
| Vision benefits are better                        | 26% | 12%     | NA      |
| In the last six months?                           | СМС | Opt-out | Non-CCI |
| Getting apt with specialist is "always easy"      | 59% | 61%     | 59%     |
| Getting prescriptions is "always easy"            | 78% | 72%     | 70%     |
| Always able to go to the hospital I prefer        | 76% | 80%     | 80%     |

\*\*Indicates statistically significant difference, p-value < .05

#### **Disruptions in Care after Transition**

• In focus groups, disruptions in care were reported, but many also reported they had since been resolved by the plan or provider.

| N=1,403  | CMC | Opt-Out |
|--|-----|---------|
| Reported delays in accessing care, services, or supplies needed after transition | 19% | 22%     |
| Of those, all delays/problems resolved   | 35% | 47%     |
| Some delays/problems resolved  | 21% | 15%     |
| No delays/problems resolved  | 44% | 38%     |

- People with "poor" health, DME use, and disabilities were more likely to report disruptions.
- Education and limited health literacy do NOT predict disruptions.
- Having a care coordinator is the ONLY predictor of resolution (OR 2.6).

## Impact of Cal MediConnect Care Coordination

Those in CMC are more likely to have someone coordinating their care (35%) than those who opted out (20%) or those in non-CCI counties (18%)

| N= 744  | Had a Care<br>Coordinator | No Care<br>Coordinator |
|---|---------------------------|------------------------|
| Very satisfied with CMC benefits **   | 72%                       | 50%                    |
| Plan has done something to make it safer<br>or easier to live in my own home ** | 31%                       | 18%                    |
| More aware of CMC benefits like transportation <b>**</b>                        | 66%                       | 41%                    |
| Experienced a disruption after transition                                       | 17%                       | 20%                    |
| Any disruption after transition was resolved **                                 | 63%                       | 29%                    |

#### \*\*Indicates statistically significant difference, p-value < .05

## The "LTSS duals" population

- Community Living Policy Center
- People who need help with daily activities:
  - "personal care needs, such as eating, bathing, dressing, or getting around inside this home"
  - "routine needs, such as everyday household chores, doing necessary business, shopping, or getting around for other purposes"
- 43% of the duals population in CCI & non-CCI counties
  - 37% of Cal MediConnect participants
  - 49% of opt-outs

#### **Characteristics of the LTSS duals**

- Median age: 66
- 67% are women
- 39% Latino, 21% African American
- 61% high school graduates; 12% college graduates
- Of working-age adults, 2% are employed (mostly part-time) and 4% looking for work
- 74% are in fair or poor health, compared to 44% of non-LTSS users

Community

Livina

Policy

#### **Opting out of Cal MediConnect**

- Community Living Policy Center
- 57% of LTSS duals in CCI counties opted out
  - Compared to 43% of non-LTSS duals
  - 59% of people with ADL needs opted out versus
    50% of people with only IADL needs
  - 61% of IHSS recipients opted out
- 34% of LTSS duals who opted out had been advised to do so
  - Compared to 23% of non-LTSS duals
  - Typically by healthcare providers
  - Reasons generally related to continuity of care

#### Paid services among LTSS duals



- Median IHSS hours: 88/month
  - 77 for CMC
  - 92 for opt-outs
  - 93 non-CCI
- Principal helper is generally paid relative (55%) or friend (14%)

Consumer direction of LTSS

- 66% of people getting paid LTSS say they are in charge of their own services
   & 13% "sometimes" in charge
- Nearly all IHSS recipients say they can change the people who are paid to help them
  - 92% yes
  - 2% maybe
  - 6% no

Community

Living Policy

#### Unmet needs among LTSS duals

#### Has unmet need for...



\*Difference between CCI & non-CCI counties is statistically significant

### Care/service coordination

Community Living Policy Center

- Cal MediConnect participants:
  - Only 35% of LTSS duals had been contacted by a care coordinator from the MCO
  - MCO care coordinators helped only 6% of LTSS duals access LTSS
- In contact with any care coordinator in prior 6 months
  - 23% of LTSS duals who opted out
  - 21% of LTSS duals in non-CCI counties

# Satisfaction with care coordination among LTSS duals



Differences are **not** statistically significant

#### Individualized care plan

Community Living Policy Center

- Only 32% of CMC LTSS duals remembered getting an individualized plan of care
   – 25% didn't know, and 43% didn't get one
- Of those getting a plan:
  - 49% said the plan mentioned their LTSS
  - 50% said the plan contained information that was "very important" to them

### **MCO** involvement in LTSS



- CMC MCOs had discussed LTSS with only 35% of LTSS duals (also 35% for opt-outs)
- Very few had their LTSS changed in any way
  - 8% of CMC participants
  - 7% of opt-outs
  - Even fewer have seen increased services
- "Has the plan done anything to make it safer or easier for you to live in your own home"?
  - 24% of CMC LTSS duals said yes
  - Only a few reported home modifications
  - Others said personal assistance, assistive technology, transportation, information

#### **Consumer rating of healthcare**



No significant differences between CMC/Opt-out/Non-CCI

#### **Control and participation**



#### No significant differences between CMC/Opt-out/Non-CCI

## What does the future hold?

- What will the follow-up survey tell us?
- The unique California model
- How other states handle managed LTSS
- Can California do better in truly integrating LTSS into managed care?

Community

Living