

# **LET'S GET HEALTHY CALIFORNIA TASK FORCE SURVEY RESULTS FOR PREVENTION AND POPULATION HEALTH**

---


**August 13, 2012**



## LGHCTF Prevention and Population Health SurveyMonkey Data Analysis Introduction and Methodology

### Introduction:

- In keeping with the Let's Get Healthy Task Force Guiding Principles, the indicators included in this summary reflect indicators that are: 1) included in the National Prevention Strategy (NPS) and for which state data are available; 2) recommendations from California Department of Public Health subject matter experts; and 3) recommendations received from Task Force and Expert Advisor members and stakeholders on the July 17<sup>th</sup> webinar on Prevention and Population Health.
- The criteria used for selecting the indicators included in this survey are: 1) the indicator was previously vetted for reliability and validity (i.e., it is included in the Healthy People 2020 data set or some other recognized data set), 2) the availability of national comparison data, and 3) the availability of an existing reliable state data set.
- Participants were asked to rank five of ten possible priority areas in order of importance. After ranking their first priority area, participants were then asked to choose the top two indicators for that priority area. Participants were only allowed to consider indicators from priority areas that they selected. A slide deck containing a list of all priority areas and indicators was made available to all those who took the survey.



### Methodology:

- This survey was sent to 45 Task Force and Expert Advisor members and interested stakeholders and made available between the dates of July 27, 2012 and August 8, 2012.
- All Expert Advisors and 19 of the Task Force members responded for a total of 38, or 84%. In addition, 594 complete surveys were received from interested stakeholders, for a grand total of 632 respondents. Any member of the public was permitted to complete the survey. Responses from individuals who did not include a valid name and email address were not considered.
- Individual responses received a point score based on how important a given priority area was ranked. The most important priority area was given 5 points, the second most important was given 4 points, the third most important was given 3 points, the fourth most important was given 2 points and the fifth most important was given 1 point. These points were then summed and a percentage was determined by dividing the cumulative points in a priority area by the total cumulative points for all priority areas.
- In all priority areas with the exception of oral health, individual responses for indicators also received a point score based on how a given indicator was ranked. The most important indicator was given 2 points and the second most important indicator was given 1 point. As there were only two indicators for oral health, only the most important indicator was considered and responses were not given point scores.

# TOP RANKING PRIORITIES AND INDICATORS

---

Prevention and Population Health Survey

August 13, 2012




## Prevention and Population Health Top Priorities and Indicators\*

Active Living		Healthy Eating		Childhood Development	
<b>Task Force and Expert Advisor Indicators</b> Task Force Priority #1		<b>Task Force and Expert Advisor Indicators</b> Task Force Priority #2		<b>Task Force and Expert Advisor Indicators</b> Task Force Priority #3	
1.	Proportion of adults who meet physical activity guidelines for aerobic physical activity	1.	Proportion of children and adolescents who are obese	1.	All doses of recommended vaccines (DTaP, IPV, MMR, Hib, Hep B, VZV, PCV) for children 19-35 months old
2.	Proportion of adolescents who meet physical activity guidelines for aerobic physical activity	2.	Proportion of adults who are obese	2.	Child poverty rate
3.	Increase the percentage of “physically fit” children, who score 6 of 6 on the required California school Fitnessgram test	3.	Adolescents who drank 2 or more glasses of soda or other sugary drink yesterday	3.	Increase the proportion of third and fourth grade students whose reading skills are at or above the proficient level.
<b>Stakeholder Indicators</b> Stakeholder Priority #3		<b>Stakeholder Indicators</b> Stakeholder Priority #1		<b>Stakeholder Indicators</b> Stakeholder Priority #2	
1.	Proportion of adolescents who meet physical activity guidelines for aerobic physical activity	1.	Proportion of children and adolescents who are obese	1.	Child poverty rate
2.	Increase the percentage of “physically fit” children, who score 6 of 6 on the required California school Fitnessgram test	2.	Increase healthy food access and decrease the number of unhealthy food outlets as measured by the Retail Food Environment Index.	2.	Reduce incidents of nonfatal child maltreatment (including physical, psychological, neglect, etc.) per 1,000 children
3.	Proportion of adults who meet physical activity guidelines for aerobic physical activity	3.	Proportion of adults who are obese	3.	Increase the proportion of third and fourth grade students whose reading skills are at or above the proficient level.

\*Responses from 38 Task Force/Expert Advisor members and 594 stakeholders

# LGHCTF Prevention and Population Health SurveyMonkey Data Analysis

## Comparison of Task Force/Expert Advisor and Stakeholder Priorities\*



\*Responses from 38 Task Force/Expert Advisor members and 594 stakeholders


**LGHCTF Prevention and Population Health SurveyMonkey Data Analysis**  
**Prevention and Population Health Top Priorities\***

	<b>Task Force and Expert Advisors</b>		<b>Stakeholders</b>	
<b>Ranking</b>	<b>Priority</b>	<b>Percentage**</b>	<b>Priority</b>	<b>Percentage**</b>
1	Active Living	25.36%	Healthy Eating	19.80%
2	Healthy Eating	20.89%	Childhood Development (Immunizations, Prevention of Maltreatment, Education, Poverty and Quality of Neighborhoods)	17.53%
3	Childhood Development (Immunizations, Prevention of Maltreatment, Education, Poverty and Quality of Neighborhoods)	20.71%	Active Living	15.38%
4	Tobacco Free Living	8.39%	Mental & Emotional Well-being	11.26%
5	Mental & Emotional Well-being	7.14%	Environmental Health (Air, Housing, Water)	8.27%
6	Environmental Health (Air, Housing, Water)	6.96%	Injury & Violence Free Living	6.44%
7	Injury & Violence Free Living	4.11%	Preventing Drug Abuse & Excessive Alcohol Use	6.26%
8	Preventing Drug Abuse & Excessive Alcohol Use	3.93%	Tobacco Free Living	5.83%
9	Oral Health	1.61%	Reproductive/Sexual Health	5.23%
10	Reproductive/Sexual Health	0.89%	Oral Health	3.99%

\*Responses from 38 Task Force/Expert Advisor members and 594 stakeholders

\*\* Percentages were determined using the method outlined on page 2

**Comparison of Task Force/ Expert Advisors and Stakeholder Indicators for Active Living\***



\*Responses from 35 Task Force/Expert Advisor members and 333 stakeholders

**LGHCTF Prevention and Population Health SurveyMonkey Data Analysis**  
**Active Living\***


	<b>Task Force and Expert Advisors</b>		<b>Stakeholders</b>	
<b>Ranking</b>	<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Percentage**</b>	<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Percentage**</b>
<b>1</b>	Proportion of adults who meet physical activity guidelines for aerobic physical activity	34.29%	Proportion of adolescents who meet physical activity guidelines for aerobic physical activity	29.42%
<b>2</b>	Proportion of adolescents who meet physical activity guidelines for aerobic physical activity	34.29%	Increase the percentage of “physically fit” children, who score 6 of 6 on the required California school Fitnessgram test	22.90%
<b>3</b>	Increase the percentage of “physically fit” children, who score 6 of 6 on the required California school Fitnessgram test	16.19%	Proportion of adults who meet physical activity guidelines for aerobic physical activity	21.11%
<b>4</b>	Proportion of commuters who use active transportation (i.e., walk, bicycle, and public transit) to travel to work	9.52%	Proportion of the nation’s public and private schools that provide access to their physical activity spaces and facilities for all persons outside of normal school hours	14.51%
<b>5</b>	Proportion of the nation’s public and private schools that provide access to their physical activity spaces and facilities for all persons outside of normal school hours	5.71%	Proportion of commuters who use active transportation (i.e., walk, bicycle, and public transit) to travel to work	12.06%

\*Responses from 35 Task Force/Expert Advisor members and 333 stakeholders

\*\* Percentages were determined using the method outlined on page 2

LGHCTF Prevention and Population Health SurveyMonkey Data Analysis

Comparison of Task Force/Expert Advisor and Stakeholder Indicators for Healthy Eating\*



\*Responses from 33 Task Force/Expert Advisor members and 486 stakeholders

**LGHCTF Prevention and Population Health SurveyMonkey Data Analysis**  
**Healthy Eating\***


Ranking	Task Force and Expert Advisors		Stakeholders	
	Indicator	Percentage**	Indicator	Percentage**
1	Proportion of children and adolescents who are obese	36.36%	Proportion of children and adolescents who are obese	36.01%
2	Proportion of Adults who are obese	18.18%	Increase healthy food access and decrease the number of unhealthy food outlets as measured by the Retail Food Environment Index.	16.26%
3	Adolescents who drank 2 or more glasses of soda or other sugary drink yesterday	11.11%	Proportion of Adults who are obese	12.76%
4	Adults who have consumed fruits and vegetables five or more times per day	10.10%	Prevalence of household-level food insecurity	9.19%
5	Adolescents who have consumed fruits and vegetables five or more times per day	10.10%	Adolescents who have consumed fruits and vegetables five or more times per day	6.58%
6	Prevalence of household-level food insecurity	6.06%	Proportion of infants who are breastfed exclusively through 6 months	6.04%
7	Proportion of infants who are breastfed exclusively through 6 months	4.04%	Adolescents who drank 2 or more glasses of soda or other sugary drink yesterday	5.62%
8	Increase healthy food access and decrease the number of unhealthy food outlets as measured by the Retail Food Environment Index.	3.03%	Adults who have consumed fruits and vegetables five or more times per day	4.66%
9	Reduce the percentage of middle and high schools that allow advertising of less healthy foods.	1.01%	Reduce the percentage of middle and high schools that allow advertising of less healthy foods.	1.65%
10	Average number of infections caused by salmonella species transmitted commonly through food, cases per 100,000 population	0.00%	Farmers Markets per 100,000 population	0.96%
11	Farmers Markets per 100,000 population	0.00%	Average number of infections caused by salmonella species transmitted commonly through food, cases per 100,000 population	0.27%

\*Responses from 33 Task Force/Expert Advisor members and 486 stakeholders

\*\* Percentages were determined using the method outlined on page 2

LGHCTF Prevention and Population Health SurveyMonkey Data Analysis

Comparison of Task Force/Expert Advisor and Stakeholder Indicators for Childhood Development\*



\*Responses from 34 Task Force/Expert Advisor members and 437 stakeholders

**LGHCTF Prevention and Population Health SurveyMonkey Data Analysis**  
**Childhood Development\***

Ranking	Task Force and Expert Advisors		Stakeholders	
	Indicator	Percentage**	Indicator	Percentage**
1	All doses of recommended vaccines (DTaP, IPV, MMR, Hib, Hep B, VZV, PCV) for children 19-35 months old	24.76%	Child poverty rate	24.30%
2	Increase the proportion of third and fourth grade students whose reading skills are at or above the proficient level.	15.24%	Reduce incidents of nonfatal child maltreatment (including physical, psychological, neglect, etc.) per 1,000 children	15.03%
3	Child poverty rate	15.24%	Increase the proportion of third and fourth grade students whose reading skills are at or above the proficient level.	14.50%
4	Increase the proportion of students who graduate with a regular diploma 4 years after starting 9th grade.	13.33%	All doses of recommended vaccines (DTaP, IPV, MMR, Hib, Hep B, VZV, PCV) for children 19-35 months old	11.39%
5	Reduce incidents of nonfatal child maltreatment (including physical, psychological, neglect, etc.) per 1,000 children	11.43%	Percent of children who live in neighborhoods that are usually or always safe	10.78%
6	Percent of children who live in neighborhoods that are usually or always safe	9.52%	Increase the proportion of students who graduate with a regular diploma 4 years after starting 9th grade.	10.63%
7	Percentage of children 0- 5 years of age who have 2 or more dose of vaccine recorded in the immunization registry	5.71%	Adult unemployment rate	6.23%
8	Reduce child maltreatment deaths per 100,000 children	1.90%	Reduce child maltreatment deaths per 100,000 children	2.81%
9	Rate of personal belief exemptions to immunizations required for entry into kindergarten	0.00%	Percentage of children 0- 5 years of age who have 2 or more dose of vaccine recorded in the immunization registry	2.43%
10	Adult unemployment rate	0.00%	Rate of personal belief exemptions to immunizations required for entry into kindergarten	1.90%

\*Responses from 34 Task Force/Expert Advisor members and 437 stakeholders

\*\* Percentages were determined using the method outlined on page 2

# **LOWER RANKING PRIORITIES AND INDICATORS\***

---


**Prevention and Population Health Survey**

**August 13, 2012**



\*The following priority areas follow ranking by Task Force and Expert Advisor members

### Comparison of Task Force/Expert Advisor and Stakeholder Indicators for Tobacco Free Living\*



\*Responses from 19 Task Force/Expert Advisor members and 195 stakeholders


**LGHCTF Prevention and Population Health SurveyMonkey Data Analysis  
Tobacco Free Living\***

	<b>Task Force and Expert Advisors</b>		<b>Stakeholders</b>	
<b>Rank</b>	<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Percentage**</b>	<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Percentage**</b>
<b>1</b>	Proportion of adolescents who smoked cigarettes in the past 30 days	50.88%	Proportion of adolescents who smoked cigarettes in the past 30 days	36.92%
<b>2</b>	Proportion of adults who are current smokers (have smoked at least 100 cigarettes during their lifetime and report smoking every day or some days)	31.58%	Proportion of adults who are current smokers (have smoked at least 100 cigarettes during their lifetime and report smoking every day or some days)	24.79%
<b>3</b>	Percentage of high school students exposed to secondhand smoke in the home in the past seven days	5.26%	Percent of youth who see advertisements for cigarettes or chewing tobacco sometimes or a lot when they go to sports events, fairs, or community events	10.26%
<b>4</b>	Percentage of smokers advised by their doctor to quit smoking in the last 12 months	5.26%	Proportion of the population protected by smoke-free Multi-unit Housing policies	9.74%
<b>5</b>	Proportion of the population protected by smoke-free Multi-unit Housing policies	3.51%	Percentage of smokers advised by their doctor to quit smoking in the last 12 months	7.69%
<b>6</b>	Percentage of the adults exposed to secondhand smoke at work in the past seven days	1.75%	Percentage of high school students exposed to secondhand smoke in the home in the past seven days	7.18%
<b>7</b>	Percent of youth who see advertisements for cigarettes or chewing tobacco sometimes or a lot when they go to sports events, fairs, or community events	1.75%	Percentage of the adults exposed to secondhand smoke at work in the past seven days	3.42%

\*Responses from 19 Task Force/Expert Advisor members and 195 stakeholders

\*\* Percentages were determined using the method outlined on page 2

**LGHCTF Prevention and Population Health SurveyMonkey Data Analysis**  
**Mental and Emotional Well-Being\***




Ranking	Task Force and Expert Advisors		Stakeholders	
	Indicator	Percentage**	Indicator	Percentage**
1	Proportion of adults (18 years and older) who experience major depressive episode (MDE)	43.14%	Proportion of adolescents (12-17 years old) who experience major depressive episode (MDE)	44.22%
2	Proportion of adolescents (12-17 years old) who experience major depressive episode (MDE)	37.25%	Proportion of adults (18 years and older) who experience major depressive episode (MDE)	29.45%
3	Youth Suicide Rate, 15-24 year olds, per 100,000 population	19.61%	Youth Suicide Rate, 15-24 year olds, per 100,000 population	26.34%

\*Responses from 17 Task Force/Expert Advisor members and 343 stakeholders

\*\* Percentages were determined using the method outlined on page 2

LGHCTF Prevention and Population Health SurveyMonkey Data Analysis

Comparison of Task Force/Expert Advisor and Stakeholder Indicators for Environmental Health\*



\*Responses from 16 Task Force/Expert Advisor members and 283 stakeholders

**LGHCTF Prevention and Population Health SurveyMonkey Data Analysis**  
**Environmental Health\***


Task Force and Expert Advisors			Stakeholders	
Rank	Indicator	Percentage**	Indicator	Percentage**
1	Reduce the number of days the Air Quality Index (AQI) exceeds 100	18.75%	Reduce the number of days the Air Quality Index (AQI) exceeds 100	21.67%
2	Emergency department visits, 0-17 years due to asthma per 10,000	18.75%	Increase the proportion of persons served by small community water systems who receive a supply of clean and safe drinking water	13.66%
3	Annual number of unhealthy air quality days due to fine particulate matter	16.67%	Annual number of unhealthy air quality days due to fine particulate matter	12.01%
4	Missed any school days due to asthma in the past year, age 5-17 years	10.42%	Lifetime asthma, 0-17 years	10.13%
5	Lifetime asthma, 0-17 years	8.33%	Emergency department visits, 0-17 years due to asthma per 10,000	9.07%
6	Hospital Admissions for asthma, 0-17 years per 10,000	6.25%	Missed any school days due to asthma in the past year, age 5-17 years	8.24%
7	Percent of households in overcrowded conditions	6.25%	Percent of households in overcrowded conditions	7.89%
8	Lifetime asthma, 18 years and older	4.17%	Percent of adults with asthma who saw/smelled mold, cockroaches, or rodents in their home (past 30 days)	6.95%
9	Percent of adults with asthma who saw/smelled mold, cockroaches, or rodents in their home (past 30 days)	4.17%	Annual number of unhealthy air quality days due to ozone	6.83%
10	Increase the proportion of persons served by small community water systems who receive a supply of clean and safe drinking water	4.17%	Lifetime asthma, 18 years and older	2.12%
11	Annual number of unhealthy air quality days due to ozone	2.08%	Hospital Admissions for asthma, 0-17 years per 10,000	1.41%

\*Responses from 16 Task Force/Expert Advisor members and 283 stakeholders

\*\* Percentages were determined using the method outlined on page 2

**LGHCTF Prevention and Population Health SurveyMonkey Data Analysis**

**Comparison of Task Force/Expert Advisor and Stakeholder Indicators for Injury & Violence Free Living\***



\*Responses from 11 Task Force/Expert Advisor members and 224 stakeholders

**LGHCTF Prevention and Population Health SurveyMonkey Data Analysis**  
**Injury and Violence Free Living\***


	<b>Task Force and Expert Advisors</b>		<b>Stakeholders</b>	
<b>Ranking</b>	<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Percentage**</b>	<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Percentage**</b>
<b>1</b>	Rate of homicides per 100,000 population	30.30%	Rate of homicides per 100,000 population	37.28%
<b>2</b>	Rate of fatalities due to alcohol impaired driving per 100 million vehicle miles traveled	27.27%	Rate of fatalities due to alcohol impaired driving per 100 million vehicle miles traveled	25.73%
<b>3</b>	Rate of fall-related deaths among adults age 65 and older per 100,000 population	27.27%	Rate of motor vehicle crash-related deaths per 100,000 population	21.93%
<b>4</b>	Rate of motor vehicle crash-related deaths per 100,000 population	9.09%	Rate of fall-related deaths among adults age 65 and older per 100,000 population	9.21%
<b>5</b>	Reduce the rate of fatal occupational injuries per 100,000 full-time equivalent workers	6.06%	Reduce the rate of fatal occupational injuries per 100,000 full-time equivalent workers	5.85%

\*Responses from 11 Task Force/Expert Advisor members and 224 stakeholders

\*\* Percentages were determined using the method outlined on page 2

**LGHCTF Prevention and Population Health SurveyMonkey Data Analysis**

**Comparison of Task Force/Expert Advisor and Stakeholder Indicators for Preventing Drug Abuse & Excessive Alcohol Use\***



\*Responses from 10 Task Force/Expert Advisor members and 218 stakeholders


**LGHCTF Prevention and Population Health SurveyMonkey Data Analysis  
Preventing Drug Abuse & Excessive Alcohol Use\***

	<b>Task Force and Expert Advisors</b>		<b>Stakeholders</b>	
<b>Ranking</b>	<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Percentage**</b>	<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Percentage**</b>
<b>1</b>	Proportion of youth aged 12-17 years who have used illicit drugs in the past 30 days	33.33%	Proportion of youth aged 12-17 years who have used illicit drugs in the past 30 days	32.12%
<b>2</b>	Proportion of high school seniors who reported binge drinking during the past two weeks	33.33%	Proportion of adults aged 18 years and older who reported that they engaged in binge drinking during the past month	24.24%
<b>3</b>	Proportion of adults aged 18 years and older who reported that they engaged in binge drinking during the past month	30.00%	Proportion of high school seniors who reported binge drinking during the past two weeks	23.48%
<b>4</b>	Proportion of persons aged 12 years or older who reported nonmedical use of any psychotherapeutic drug in the past year	3.33%	Proportion of persons aged 12 years or older who reported nonmedical use of any psychotherapeutic drug in the past year	19.24%

\*Responses from 10 Task Force/Expert Advisor members and 218 stakeholders

\*\* Percentages were determined using the method outlined on page 2

**LGHCTF Prevention and Population Health SurveyMonkey Data Analysis  
Oral Health\***




Rank	Task Force and Expert Advisors		Stakeholders	
	Indicator	Percentage**	Indicator	Percentage**
1	Increase the proportion of children ages 1-20 enrolled in Medicaid or CHIP who received any dental service	100%	Increase the proportion of children ages 1-20 enrolled in Medicaid or CHIP who received any dental service	84.03%
2	Increase the proportion of the California population served by community water systems with optimally fluoridated water	0%	Increase the proportion of the California population served by community water systems with optimally fluoridated water	15.13%

\*Responses from 7 Task Force/Expert Advisor members and 118 stakeholders

\*\* Percentages were determined using the method outlined on page 2

**Comparison of Task Force/Expert Advisor and Stakeholder Indicators for Reproductive/Sexual Health\***



\*Responses from 4 Task Force/Expert Advisor members and 174 stakeholders

**LGHCTF Prevention and Population Health SurveyMonkey Data Analysis**  
**Reproductive/Sexual Health\***

	<b>Task Force and Expert Advisors</b>		<b>Stakeholders</b>	
<b>Rank</b>	<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Percentage**</b>	<b>Indicator</b>	<b>Percentage**</b>
<b>1</b>	Proportion of pregnant females who received early and adequate prenatal care	50.00%	Teen birth rate for 15-19 year olds , per 1,000	32.57%
<b>2</b>	Teen birth rate for 15-19 year olds , per 1,000	41.67%	Proportion of pregnant females who received early and adequate prenatal care	29.50%
<b>3</b>	Proportion of people living with HIV who know their serostatus	8.33%	Proportion of children born with low birth weight and very low birth weight.	15.71%
<b>4</b>	Proportion of children born with low birth weight and very low birth weight.	0.00%	Proportion of sexually active females aged 16 to 20 years and 21 to 24 years enrolled in Medicaid and commercial health insurance plans who were screened for genital Chlamydia infections during the measurement year	15.13%
<b>5</b>	Proportion of sexually active females aged 16 to 20 years and 21 to 24 years enrolled in Medicaid and commercial health insurance plans who were screened for genital Chlamydia infections during the measurement year	0.00%	Proportion of people living with HIV who know their serostatus	7.09%

\*Responses from 4 Task Force/Expert Advisor members and 174 stakeholders

\*\* Percentages were determined using the method outlined on page 2